Application No.: 10/089,230 (80061-0018) Docket No.: SON-2166/SOH

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

One (1) attached sheet of drawing includes a change to Fig. 1. The change to this Figure is as follows.

Sheet 1 includes Fig. 1 - Please add reference members "100" and "101";

Attachment:

One (1) Replacement sheet

Application No.: 10/089,230 Docket No.: SON-2166/SOH

(80061-0018)

REMARKS

This communication is a full and timely response to the final Office Action dated June 1, 2005 (Paper No./Mail Date: not provided). By this communication, claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of the underlying subject matter. Further, the specification, drawings, and claim 1 have been amended.

The specification has been amended to disclose that the horizontal aging circuit 5 is provided on a seal region 100 of the liquid crystal display panel 1, and that the first pad region 6 and the second pad region, as shown in Fig. 1, are formed in parallel at a first edge portion 101 of the glass substrate 3. Support for the subject matter added to the specification was illustrated in the original as-filed drawings, but not identified by reference numerals. Accordingly, no new matter has been added.

Fig. 1 of the drawings has been amended to include reference numerals 100 and 101, which identify a seal region and first edge portion, respectively. Because these elements were illustrated in Fig. 1 as originally filed, no new matter has been added.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the second pad area is at the first edge portion of the substrate, wherein a pitch of the second pad area is wider than a pitch of the first pad area. Support for the changes to claim 1 can be found variously throughout the drawings, for example, in Fig. 1 as originally filed. Accordingly, no new matter has been added.

Claims 1 and 4 are pending where claim 1 is independent.

Priority Acknowledgement

It is noted with appreciation that the Action acknowledged the claim for priority and the submission of certified copies of documents supporting that claim.

Specification

The specification has been reviewed and a few minor changes made without the introduction of new matter.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings were objected to for failing to show all claimed elements. In particular, the Office Action alleges that the claimed seal area, first edge portion, second edge portion, third pad area, and vertical aging circuit are not illustrated in the drawings. Applicant respectfully

Application No.: 10/089,230 Docket No.: SON-2166/SOH

(80061-0018)

traverses this rejection. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution Fig. 1 has been amended to identify the seal area (element 100) and first edge portion (101). Moreover, those claims that recite a third pad area and vertical aging circuit have been canceled. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that all elements recited in pending claims 1 and 4 are identified in the drawings. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as non-enabled. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution Fig. 1 has been amended to identify the seal area (element 100) and first edge portion (101). Moreover, the specification has been amended to remain consistent with the changes to Fig. 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Figs. 1 and 4 are enabled by the specification. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 have been canceled, thus, the rejection with respect to these claims is moot. For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-6 under §112, first paragraph be withdrawn.

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as indefinite. As discussed above, Fig. 1 has been amended to identify the seal area (element 100) and first edge portion (101). Moreover, the specification has been amended to remain consistent with the changes to Fig. 1 and describe the seal area and first edge portion now identified in Fig. 1. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Figs. 1 and 4 are enabled by the specification. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 have been canceled, thus, the rejection with respect to these claims is moot. For at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-6 under §112, second paragraph be withdrawn.

Prior Art Rejection

Because no prior art has been applied to claims 1 and 4, Applicant respectfully submits that any prior art applied to these claims must be made in a non-final Office Action.

Application No.: 10/089,230 Docket No.: SON-2166/SOH

(80061-0018)

Conclusion

Based on at least the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that claims 1 and 4 are allowable, and this application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests a favorable examination and consideration of the instant application. In the event the instant application can be placed in even better form, Applicant requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted at the number listed below.

Date: September 1, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

Shawn B. Cage

Registration No.: 51,522 Attorney for Applicant

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC

Lion Building 1233 20th Street, N.W., Suite 501

Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 955-3750 Fax: (202) 955-3751 Customer No. 23353

Enclosure: One (1) Replacement Drawing Sheet

DC205906

Application No.: 10/089,230 (80061-0018) Docket No.: SON-2166/SOH

REPLACEMENT DRAWING SHEET